



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AM

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,770	11/14/2003	Manabu Kusano	9333/363	8765
757	7590	03/21/2007	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			BARQADLE, YASIN M	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2153				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS	03/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,770	KUSANO ET AL.
	Examiner Yasin M. Barqadle	Art Unit 2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/14/2003</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are presented for examination

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5-14, 16-17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosenberg et al US Patent Number 7028082, hereinafter "Rosenberg"

As per claims 1,13 and 17, Rosenberg teaches a multimedia reproducing system (Fig. 2) comprising:

a first client apparatus (202, fig. 2) including an uploading unit operable to transmit data from a desired medium to a server apparatus (218, fig. 2), the server apparatus including a data registering unit operable to register data transmitted from said first client apparatus by said uploading unit to a user record area prepared in advance for individual users (user profile 219 are stored in server 218); a list presenting unit operable to present a list of contents available for selection to a second client apparatus based on data registered by the data registering unit (a playlist (fig.4) according to profile of user 110 at device 202 or 299 is presented); and a server data transmitting/receiving unit operable to transmit data selected on said second client apparatus to said second client apparatus (col. 8, lines 49-53); wherein the second client apparatus comprises a selecting unit operable to select a desired content from the list presented by the list presenting unit (user 110 selects desired content col. 2, lines 53-65) and a reproducing unit (processing unit 212) operable to receive the data transmitted from the server data transmitting/receiving unit and reproduce the data through decoding (decoder 222, fig. 2) that corresponds to a media type

(col. 10, lines 1-18 and fig. 26).

As per claims 2 and 14, Rosenberg teaches a multimedia reproducing system of claim 1, wherein the reproducing unit includes a plurality of decoders corresponding to a media type and is operable to select a decoder that corresponds to the desired medium (consumer device 202 includes one or more receivers 210 that are operable to tune to a particular broadcast audio or audio/video channel which implies plurality of decoding system col. 5, lines 9-33).

As per claim 3, Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system of claim 1, wherein the reproducing unit includes a decoder and memory operable to store a plurality of decoding programs that correspond to a media type and is operable to select a decoding program that corresponds to the desired medium (col. 5, lines 9-41).

As per claim 5, Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system of claim 1, wherein the first client apparatus includes a function selecting unit operable to select an operation mode relating to a reproduction quality, equalization, or notifying the server apparatus of selection content (see fig. 16 and 17);

Art Unit: 2153

and the server apparatus includes an operation screen generating unit operable to generate an operation screen presented on said second client apparatus based on the content of the function selected by said function selecting unit, and transmit the screen to the second client apparatus (col.12, lines 15-43).

As per claims 6,16,19 and 23, Rosenberg teaches the multimedia reproducing system, wherein the second client apparatus includes a function selecting unit operable to select an operation mode relating to a reproduction quality, equalization, or notifying the server apparatus of selection content (see fig. 16 and 17); and the server apparatus includes an operation screen generating unit operable to generate an operation screen presented on said second client apparatus based on the content of the function selected by said function selecting unit, and transmit the screen to the second client apparatus (col.12, lines 15-43).

As per claim 7, Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system of claim 1, wherein the list presenting unit provides the second client apparatus with a list of contents prepared by the server apparatus (col.12, lines 15-43); and the server apparatus includes a charging unit operable to conduct purchase processing of selected content (see fig. 8, purchase button).

As per claim 8, Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system of claim 7, wherein the data registering unit registers data relates to selected content in the user record area (In one embodiment, after user 110 selects purchase-button 804, device 202 communicates with a remote server to verify that the user is qualified to make the purchase (e.g., does the user have enough money in his or her account) (step 914). This implies user is registered with the system.

As per claim 9, Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system of claim 1, further comprising: a last position storing unit operable to store last position information indicating the last reproduction position by said reproducing unit; and a last position managing unit operable to manage reading and writing of the last position information to and from the last position storing unit; wherein the data relates to content selected by said second client apparatus is reproduced from a position corresponding to the last position information stored in the last position storing unit (col. 19, lines 1-21 and col. 17, lines 22-49).

As per claim 10, Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system

Art Unit: 2153

of claim 9, wherein the server data transmitting/receiving unit obtains data corresponding to content selected on the second client apparatus starting from the position corresponding to the last position information stored in the last position storing unit, and transmits the data to the second client apparatus (col. 19, lines 1-21 and col. 17, lines 22-49).

As per claim 11, Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system of claim 10, further comprising a last position managing unit in said second client apparatus, and is operable to transmit the last position information to the server apparatus (col. 19, lines 1-21 and col. 17, lines 22-49).

As per claim 12 Rosenberg teaches multimedia reproducing system of claim 10, wherein the last position managing unit and the last position storing unit are provided in the server apparatus, and the last position information is stored in the server apparatus (col. 17, lines 22-49).

As per claim 20, Rosenberg teaches the server apparatus of claim 17, wherein the list presenting unit provides a list of contents prepared by the server apparatus, and the server apparatus further comprises a charging unit operable to conduct purchase

processing of a selected content when said client apparatus selects the content prepared by said server apparatus (col. 9, lines 42-56 and col.12, lines 15-43).

As per claim 21, Rosenberg teaches the server apparatus of claim 20, wherein data corresponding to selected content is registered to said user record area when the content is selected on the client apparatus "In one embodiment, after user 110 selects purchase-button 804, device 202 communicates with a remote server to verify that the user is qualified to make the purchase (e.g., does the user have enough money in his or her account) (step 914)" (col. 42-56). This implies user is registered with the system.

As per claim 22, Rosenberg teaches a multimedia reproducing method (fig. 2), comprising the acts of:

providing a server (server 280);
providing a user record area on the server (col. 14, lines 19-31); providing a first client apparatus operable to connect with the server through a network (299); providing a second client apparatus operable to connect with the server apparatus through a network (202); selecting content through the second client apparatus (col. 9, lines 42-56 and col.12, lines 15-43);

registering data from a desired medium to the user record area on the server apparatus (col. 4, lines 49-67 and col. 42-56); selecting a decoder on the second client apparatus based on the medium used to reproduce the content (decoder 222 and fig. 22 and 23); and decoding data transmitted from the server to the second client apparatus (decoder 222, fig. 2 and col. 10, lines 1-18 and fig. 26)..

As per claim 23, Rosenberg teaches the multimedia reproducing method of claim 22 further comprising the act of selecting an operating mode relating to reproduction quality or equalization (see fig. 16 and 17).

As per claim 24, Rosenberg teaches the multimedia reproducing method of claim 22 wherein the server apparatus generates an operation screen presented on said second client apparatus based on the content of the selected function and transmits the screen to said second client apparatus (col. 9, lines 42-56 and col.12, lines 15-43).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4,15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Rosenberg et al US Patent Number 7028082, hereinafter "Rosenberg" in view of Dodrill et al USPN. 6643621, (hereinafter "Dodrill").

As per claims 4 and 18, although Rosenberg shows substantial features of the claimed invention, he does not explicitly show converting data content into a predetermined format. Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Rosenberg, as evidenced by Dodrill USPN. (6643621).

In analogous art, whose invention is a method for referencing and producing audio information, discloses "conversion operation specifies an audio output format that is compatible with the type of audio data that is compatible with the originator of the request for information [Col. 7, lines 32-35 and col. 15, lines

28-38]. Giving the teaching of Dodrill, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and the advantage of modifying Rosenberg by employing the system of Dodrill so that the appropriate format that is compatible to each is user's multimedia output system.

Dodrill further teaches wherein the server data transmitting/receiving unit transmits the data corresponding to content in the predetermined format to the client apparatus [abstract, Col. 7, lines 32-35 and col. 15, lines 28-38].

As per claim 15, Dodrill as modified teaches wherein the reproducing unit is provided with a decoder that corresponds to a predetermined format and decodes data converted into the predetermined format [Col. 7, lines 32-35 and col. 15, lines 28-38].

Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin

Barqadle whose telephone number is 571-272-3947. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YB

Art Unit 2153



WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100